	Case 5:07-cv-02798-JW	Document 21	Filed 06/26/2007	Page 1 of 4			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	HENRY WEISSMAN (SBN Henry.Weissman@mto.com DANIEL P. COLLINS (SBN Daniel.Collins@mto.com JOSEPH S. KLAPACH (SBI Joseph.Klapach@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLS 355 South Grand Avenue, 35 Los Angeles, CA 90071-156 Telephone: (213) 683-910 Facsimile: (213) 687-370 Attorneys for Defendant JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, IN STEVEN M. WATT (pro haswatt@aclu.org BEN WIZNER (SBN 215724 bwizner@aclu.org AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERT UNION FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10014 Telephone: (212) 549-250 Facsimile: (212) 549-250 Facsimile: (212) 549-265	I 139164) N 206345) SON LLP (th Floor 50) 00 02 IC. c vice) 4) FIES					
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional counsel listed on next page]						
14							
15							
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
18	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
19	BINYAM MOHAMED; ABOU ELK BRITEL; AND AHMED AGIZA, Plaintiffs,		CASE NO. C 07	-2798 RS			
20		,	6-1(a) EXTEND	UNDER LOCAL RULE ING THE TIME TO THE COMPLAINT			
21	VS.						
22	JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, IN	IC.,	[NO ACTION R	REQUIRED]			
23	Defendant						
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

WHEREAS on May 30, 2007, Plaintiffs Binyam Mohamed, Abou Elkassim Britel, and Ahmed Agiza ("Plaintiffs") filed the Complaint in this action;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), the response of Defendant Jeppesen DataPlan, Inc. ("Defendant") to the Complaint is currently due to be filed and served on Monday, July 2, 2007;

WHEREAS, on Monday, June 25, 2007, counsel for Plaintiffs informed counsel for Defendant that Plaintiffs intend to amend the Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) and to file and serve their amended complaint on or before Wednesday, July 25, 2007;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (e), Defendant's response to an amended complaint served on July 25, 2007 would ordinarily be due on Monday, August 13, 2007;

WHEREAS, in light of the forthcoming amended complaint, it would serve no practical purpose to require Defendant to answer the current Complaint;

WHEREAS Local Rule 6-1(a) provides that the "[p]arties may stipulate in writing, without a Court order, to extend the time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, ... provided the change will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order";

WHEREAS the parties reserve the right to present a further stipulation to the Court, for its approval under Local R. 6-1(b), more fully establishing the schedule for a possible motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 in response to the forthcoming amended complaint (which stipulation and proposed order may include a further extension of the due date for responding to the forthcoming amended complaint);

NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendant, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), that the time within which Defendant shall respond to the Complaint shall be extended to and until Monday, August 13, 2007.

	Case 5:07-cv-02798-JW	Document 21	Filed 06/26/2007	Page 4 of 4
1 2	DATED: June 4, 2007		MUNGER, TOLLES	& OLSON LLP
3			By: Dani	el P Collins
4				•
5			Attorneys for Defenda JEPPESEN DATAPL	AN, INC.
6	DATED: June 26, 2007		AMERICAN CIVIL I	IDEDTIES
7	DATED. Julie <u>* \(\psi, \) 2007</u>		UNION FOUNDATION	
8			By: Alle	M Wat
10				ven M. Watt
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff	is
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20 21				
21				
23				
24				
2 4 25				
25 26				
27				
28				
			_	
		_	2 -	